

Abstract

Objective: Surgeons often report musculoskeletal discomfort in relation to their practice, but few understand optimal ergonomic positioning. This study aims to determine which patient position, sitting versus supine, is ergonomically optimal for performing otologic procedures.

Subjects and methods: This was an observational study in which three neurotologists were observed performing a standardized stimulated cerumen debridement procedure on volunteers in two positions: sitting and supine. The Rapid Upper Limb Assessment (RULA), a validated tool that calculates stress placed on the upper limb during a task, was used to evaluate ergonomic positioning. Scores on this instrument range from 1-7, with a score of 1-2 indicating negligible risk of developing posture-related injury. The risk of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) increases as the RULA score increases.

Results: In nearly every trial, RULA scores were lower when the simulated patient was placed in the supine position. When examined as a group, with the patient sitting, the median RULA score was 5 and with the patient in the supine position, the median RULA score was 3 (p < 0.0001). When the RULA scores of the three neurotologists were examined individually, each had a statistically significant decrease in RULA scores with the patient in the supine position.

Conclusion: This study indicates that patient position may contribute to ergonomic stress placed on the otolaryngologist's upper limb during in-office otologic procedures. Otolaryngologists should consider performing otologic procedures with the patient in the supine position to decrease their risk of developing upper-limb MSDs.

Introduction

Physicians and surgeons often explore the hazards that their patients face at work, but little attention is given to the dangers they face in carrying out their practice¹. It has previously been observed that dentists², nurses³, and surgeons^{1,4} report a significant level (>75%) of musculoskeletal discomfort in relation to their practice. In the case of cerumen removal, otolaryngologists must place themselves in a position to both visualize and manipulate the cerumen within a patient's ear canal. This often results in positions that are less than ideal. **In this study we assessed the positioning of neurotologists while simulating cerumen removal to determine whether patient position affects physician ergonomics.**

Methods

- Neurotology fellowship trained attendings were observed simulated cerumen removal on mock patients, which were composed of volunteer clinical staff
- The Rapid Upper Limb Assessment (RULA) tool⁵ was used to calculate ergonomic positioning (**Figure 1**- see blue table for scoring).
- Physician posture was examined with patients in two positions: seated upright at 90 degrees and supine.
- To observe the effect of physician and patient height on positioning, combinations of neurotologists and patients of varying heights were observed.
- Measurements were limited to the right ear of each subject and to the neurotologist's dominant hand and arm (right side in all cases).
- Muscle use score was always scored as +0 since the action was not held for >10 minutes and was not repeated 4 times per minute. Force/Load score was always +0 since the load was always less than 4.4 lbs.

Contact

Nandini Govil, MD, MPH
University of Pittsburgh Department of Otolaryngology
Email: goviln2@upmc.edu

Figure 1: Sample RULA worksheet

A. Arm and Wrist Analysis
Step 1: Locate Upper Arm Position: (Diagrams showing 20°, 20°, 20°, 20-45°, 90° angles) +1, +2, +2, +3, +4
Step 1a: Adjust...
If shoulder is raised: +1
If upper arm is abducted: +1
If arm is supported or person is leaning: -1
Step 2: Locate Lower Arm Position: (Diagrams showing 15°, 15°, 15°, 15° angles) +1, +2, +3, +4
Step 2a: Adjust...
If either arm is working across midline or out to side of body: Add +1
Step 3: Locate Wrist Position: (Diagrams showing 15°, 15°, 15°, 15° angles) +1, +2, +3, +4
Step 3a: Adjust...
If wrist is bent from midline: Add +1
Step 4: Wrist Twist: (Diagrams showing 15°, 15°, 15°, 15° angles) +1, +2
Step 5: Look-up Posture Score in Table A:
Using values from steps 1-4 above, locate score in Table A
Step 6: Add Muscle Use Score
If posture mainly static (i.e. held >10 minutes), Or if action repeated occurs 4X per minute: +1
Step 7: Add Force/Load Score
If load < 4.4 lbs. (intermittent): +0
If load 4.4 to 22 lbs. (intermittent): +1
If load 4.4 to 22 lbs. (static or repeated): +2
If more than 22 lbs. or repeated or shocks: +3
Step 8: Find Row in Table C
Add values from steps 5-7 to obtain Wrist and Arm Score. Find row in Table C.

B. Neck, Trunk and Leg Analysis
Step 9: Locate Neck Position: (Diagrams showing 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15° angles) +1, +2, +3, +4
Step 9a: Adjust...
If neck is twisted: +1
If neck is side bending: +1
Step 10: Locate Trunk Position: (Diagrams showing 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15°, 15° angles) +1, +2, +3, +4
Step 10a: Adjust...
If trunk is twisted: +1
If trunk is side bending: +1
Step 11: Legs:
If legs and feet are supported: +1
If not: +2
Step 12: Look-up Posture Score in Table B:
Using values from steps 9-11 above, locate score in Table B
Step 13: Add Muscle Use Score
If posture mainly static (i.e. held >10 minutes), Or if action repeated occurs 4X per minute: +1
Step 14: Add Force/Load Score
If load < 4.4 lbs. (intermittent): +0
If load 4.4 to 22 lbs. (intermittent): +1
If load 4.4 to 22 lbs. (static or repeated): +2
If more than 22 lbs. or repeated or shocks: +3
Step 15: Find Column in Table C
Add values from steps 12-14 to obtain Neck, Trunk and Leg Score. Find Column in Table C.

Table A: Wrist Score

		Wrist Score							
		1	2	3	4				
Upper Arm	Lower Arm	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
2	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
	1	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
3	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
4	1	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5
	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5
5	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
	1	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
6	2	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	6
	3	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	7
7	1	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	7
	3	6	6	6	6	7	7	8	8

Table B: Neck, Trunk, Leg Score

		Neck, Trunk, Leg Score							
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Neck	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Trunk	3	3	3	3	4	5	6	7	8
	4	4	5	5	6	7	7	8	8
Legs	5	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8
	6	6	6	6	7	7	8	8	9

Table C: Scoring (final score from Table C)

		Scoring (final score from Table C)						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Wrist / Arm	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	7
3	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	6
	4	3	3	3	4	4	5	6
5	5	4	4	4	4	5	6	7
	6	4	4	4	5	6	6	7
7	7	5	5	6	6	7	7	7
	8	5	5	6	7	7	7	7

Scoring: (final score from Table C)
1-2 = acceptable posture
3-4 = further investigation, change may be needed
5-6 = further investigation, change soon
7 = investigate and implement change

Table 1: Median RULA Score by Position* and Physician*

	Total Sit	Total Sup	*p-value	P1 Sit	P1 Sup	*p-value	P2 Sit	P2 Sup	*p-value	P3 Sit	P3 Sup	*p-value
Total Score	5	3	< .0001	5	3	0.0156	4	3	0.0313	5	3	0.0313
Upper arm	3	2	0.0003	3	2	0.1563	4	2	0.0313	3	2	0.1250
Lower arm	2	1	0.0005	2	1	0.1250	2	1	0.1250	2	1	0.1250
Wrist	3	3	0.0020	3	3	0.2500	3	3	0.1250	3	3	0.2500
Neck	4	2	<.0001	4	2	0.0313	2	2	0.3438	4	1	0.0156
Torso	2	1	0.1460	2	1	0.2500	2	1	0.1250	2	2	> 0.99
Leg	1	1	0.0625	1	1	-	2	1	0.1250	1	1	-

*p-value as calculated by Wilcoxon test, bolded values are statistically significant with p<0.05
+Physician= P, Sitting= Sit, Supine= Sup

Results

- 3 physicians were observed simulating cerumen removal on 7 volunteer mock patients.
- Physicians ranged from 64 inches to 77 inches in height. Volunteer mock patients ranged from 59 inches to 72 inches in height.
- Median total and subcategory RULA scores are summarized in **Table 1**.
- The paired difference in RULA scores for all simulations was statistically significant (p < 0.0001).
- When examined by individual physician, all three physicians had statistically significant reductions in paired RULA scores between sitting to supine patient positions (all three p values < 0.05).
- Physicians 1 and 3 had significant reductions in their neck scores (p=0.0313 and 0.0156 respectively), while physician 2 had a significant decrease in their upper arm score (p=0.0313) between trials.
- No difference in RULA scores by height difference were detected across the three conditions (p > 0.05, Spearman correlation).

Discussion

The main finding of this study is that the act of placing a patient in the supine position (as opposed to upright) results in a profound improvement in the ergonomic positioning of the physician as measured by RULA score. Overall median RULA scores changed from a value indicating possible risk of MSD with the patient in the sitting position, to a score indicating an acceptable posture when the patient was in the supine position.

Our study had several limitations, including a small study population, and risk of observational bias. However, it carries important implications. Many otolaryngologists perform otologic procedures several times per day in their clinic; over the course of a practice lifetime, improper ergonomic positioning puts them at risk of developing MSD. Physicians could significantly reduce their propensity for development of MSD by simply placing the patient supine in the examination chair while executing common otologic procedures.

References

1. Park A, Lee G, Seagull FJ, Meenaghan N, Dexter D. Patients benefit while surgeons suffer: an impending epidemic. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2010;210(3):306-313.
2. Alexopoulos EC, Stathi I-C, Charizani F. Prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders in dentists. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2004;5:16.
3. Bos E, Krol B, van der Star L, Groothoff J. Risk factors and musculoskeletal complaints in non-specialized nurses, IC nurses, operation room nurses, and X-ray technologists. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health.* 2007;80(3):198-206.
4. Szeto GPY, Ho P, Ting ACW, Poon JTC, Cheng SWK, Tsang RCC. Work-related musculoskeletal symptoms in surgeons. *J Occup Rehabil.* 2009;19(2):175-184.
5. McAtamney L, Nigel Corlett E. RULA: a survey method for the investigation of work-related upper limb disorders. *Appl Ergon.* 1993;24(2):91-99.